

A.A. Bogush, V.M. Red'kov
On unique parametrization of the linear group $GL(4.C)$ and its
subgroups by using the Dirac matrix algebra basis

Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus
 bogush@dragon.bas-net.by, redkov@dragon.bas-net.by

A unifying overview of the ways to parameterize the linear group $GL(4.C)$ and its subgroups is given. As parameters for this group there are taken 16 coefficients $G = G(A, B, A_k, B_k, F_{kl})$ in resolving matrix $G \in GL(4.C)$ in terms of 16 basic elements of the Dirac matrix algebra. Alternatively to the use of 16 tensor quantities, the possibility to parameterize the group $GL(4.C)$ with the help of four 4-dimensional complex vectors (k, m, n, l) is investigated. The multiplication rules $G'G$ are formulated in the form of a bilinear function of two sets of 16 variables. The detailed investigation is restricted to 6-parameter case $G(A, B, F_{kl})$, which provides us with spinor covering for the complex orthogonal group $SO(3.1.C)$. The complex Euler's angles parametrization for the last group is also given. Many different parametrizations of the group based on the curvilinear coordinates for complex extension of the 3-space of constant curvature are discussed. The use of the Newmann-Penrose formalism and applying quaternion techniques in the theory of complex Lorentz group are considered. Connections between Einstein-Mayer study on semi-vectors and Fedorov's treatment of the Lorentz group theory are stated in detail. Classification of fermions in intrinsic parities is given on the base of the theory of representations for spinor covering of the complex Lorentz group.

Key words: Dirac matrices, orthogonal groups, covering group, discrete transformations, intrinsic parity, fermion, semi-vectors, Newmann-Penrose formalism, Majorana basis.

1 Introduction

Physical applications especially in connection with relativity theory and quantum mechanics extensively employ the real orthogonal groups: $SO(3.1.R)$, $SO(4.R)$, and $SO(2.2.R)$. Also 3-rotation groups $SO(3.R)$ and $SO(2.1)$ are widely used. Much attention was given to the complex orthogonal groups $SO(4.C)$, including all previous as sub-groups. Surely, from general mathematical viewpoint, the theory of these orthogonal groups can be considered to have been successfully solved many years ago and one should not expect to obtain new facts. At the same time, everyday employing of these groups in many different contexts requires some elaborate and desirably unified apparatus in parameterizing these groups. In practice, all calculation with those groups involve some parametrization of them. It is the more so when calculation is done with finite transformations of the groups. Special and accurate parametrization for any orthogonal group becomes a point of first significance when turning to the problem of its covering spinor groups.

Impressive progress had been reached in the original treatment of the theory of the Lorentz group given by Fedorov F.I. (1979). Though the author (with co-authors) had given a self-closed theory of the real Lorentz group and extension of the methods used to deal with other orthogonal groups, this treatment stays some distance away from the standard and widely used ways of treatment of the Lorentz group.

In Fedorov approach, an arbitrary finite Lorentz transformation in 4-vector space from the very beginning is constructed as a product of two mutually commuting matrices, each of those is a linear function of a complex 3-vector (parameter). Then it is demonstrated that in this way one can obtain all proper and orthochronous Lorentz transformations in 4-vector space. Six independent parameters are obtained as a result of imposing additional restrictions on two complex vectors, involving complex conjugation. Such approach had been extended to the real groups $SO(4.R)$, $SO(2.2)$ and complex orthogonal group $SO(3.1.C) \sim SO(4.C)$. The use of this vector-based parametrization of the Lorentz group has permitted the solving in full details many of particular problems where substantial role is given to relativistic invariance. The use of the fixed parameters associated with relativistic transformations, including arbitrarily oriented Euclidean 3-rotation and any Lorentz boost, enables the possibility of overcoming many technical difficulties and bring calculations to final analytical results in closed mathematical form.

We will now discuss our list of references as they apply to this paper. The groups under consideration are now part of everyday physics practice. So a list of references will be quite long. Since a discussion of the references in relation to each other is a rather daunting task, we have chosen an historical principle and use classifying by date. In the present paper we give only several guideline comments and some indications on the

content of only part of works from the reference list, the most directly referring to subject under consideration¹

After establishing the fundamental role of the Lorentz group in physics: Lorentz (1904), Poincare (1904, 1905), Einstein (1905), and after Minkowski (1908-1909) elaboration of 4-dimensional space-time geometry, the relativistic 4-tensor apparatus had been entered into usage in physics. Because the main relativistic object was the electromagnetic field, the most of the work was given to this field: Silberstein (1907); Minkowski (1908-1909) Sommerfeld (1910).

Usually, invention of spinors as mathematical objects is given to Cartan (1913); however it should be noted that before Cartan other French mathematician Darboux had examined (1900, 1904, 1905, 1914) particular geometric properties of the objects that in essence represent spinors. These mathematical achievements in spinors had been ignored by physics. Mathematicians became interested in the theory of continuous transformation groups: Weyl (1924); Schreier (1925-1926).

There arises the physical concept of the spin: Uhlenbeck and Goudsmit (1925); Thomas (1926); Frenkel (1926); Pauli (1927). In the context of quantum mechanic, Dirac (1929) had invented a relativistic wave equation for a particle with spin half-one. In this connection the interest in 2-spinors and 4-spinors had been grown much: Möglich (1928); Neuman (1929); Van der Waerden (1929); Laporte and Uhlenbeck (1931); Rummel (1931). Weyl (1931) and Wigner (1931) had written their great books on applying the group theory in quantum physics. In the context of Schrödinger equation, Wigner (1932) had proposed to use the operation of inverting the time which included the complex conjugation. Einstein and Mayer (1932-1933) gave the original mathematical treatment for Lorentz group and its simplest representations, introduced the concept of semi-vector, the 4-dimensional objects closely connected with 2-spinors.

Steady interest to spinors retained: Mie (1933); Veblen (1933); Pauli (1933); Brauer and Weyl (1935); Sommerfeld (1936); Rumer (1936). Majorana had written his great paper on neutral 4-spinors (1937). This problem gave rise to a new interest to the role of complex imaginary unit in quantum theory: Dirac (1937); Racah (1937); Kramers (1937); Hettner (1938); Scherzer (1938); Furay (1938). There appeared the reviewing physical and mathematical works: Cartan (1938); Dirac (1939); Brillouin (1938); Wigner (1939); Pauli and Belinfante (1940); Pauli (1941) Einstein and Bargmann (1944, 1947) had written two papers on possible use of bivectors in the context of a unified theory.

After evident stopping investigation, the role of relativistic invariance in the quantum theory is again of special interest: Gel'fand and Yaglom (1948); Wigner (1948). Yang and Tjonn (1950) had written their paper in which firstly the problem of classifying fermions in intrinsic parities had been posed. In the same time there appeared many others works studying the same problem: Zharkov (1950); Wick, Wigner, Wightmann (1952); Pais and Jost (1952); Shapiro (1952, 1954). Interest to the Lorentz group theory and discrete symmetries is steady: Bade and Jehle (1953); Lüders (1954); Umezawa, Kamefuchi, Tanaka (1954); Naimark (1954); Rashevski (1955); Good (1955); Case (1955); Pauli (1955); Watanabe (1955); Gel'fand, Minlos, Shapiro (1956); Umezawa (1956).

Non-conservation of parity: Lee and Yang (1956, 1957); Lee, Oehme, Yang (1957); Landau (1957) gave an impulse for many new works: Golfand (1956); Wigner (1957); Sokolik (1957); Case (1957); Heine (1957); Schremp (1957); Feinberg and Weinberg (1959).

Practically in the same time, and independently from each other, there appeared general surveys on the Lorentz group invariance: Corson (1953); Winogradzki (1957-1959); Van Winter (1957); Shirokov (1957-1959); Naimark (1958); Grawerts, Lüders, Rollnik (1959), Halbwachs, Hillion, Vigier (1959); Wightmann (1960), Jost (1960, 1962); Fedorov and Bogush (1958, 1961, 1962); Macfarlane (1962); Wigner (1962, 1964). We can see several tendencies in the manner of working with the Lorentz group invariance: to analyze the relativistic invariance in the frames of the corresponding Lie algebra (formalism of infinitesimal transformations); to parameterize the finite Lorentz group transformations with the help of: 1) three Euler's complex angles; 2) bi-vector; 3) a 4-vector on complex sphere; 4) a complex 3-dimensional vector. All these techniques are in use up to present time²

The task of classifying particles, including fermions, in intrinsic parities takes attention: Sudarshan (1965); Lee and Wick (1966); Goldberg (1969); Harley (1974); Biritz (1975); Staruszkiewicz (1976); Ebner (1977); Altmann (1986); Sharma (1989); Gaioli and Alvarez (1995); Kauffman (1996); Half (1996), Ackermann (1996);

¹With the hope that a comprehensive analytical review of the bibliography given will be given by us elsewhere. As a compromise, for conscience sake, we gave the full titles of the papers cited.

²Intensive work on constructing the theory of the Lorentz group and related to it has been done by the Fedorov's scientific school: Fedorov (1967); Fedorov and Tkharev (1968); Ivanitskaya (1969); Fedorov (1970); Bogush, Moroz, Fedorov (1970); Bogush and Fedorov (1972); Bogush (1972, 1973); Fedorov (1973); Berezin and Fedorov (1975); Fedorovich and Fedorov (1975); Bogush, Kurochkin, Fedorov (1977); Bogush, Fedorov (1977); Bogush, Ivanitskaya, Kisel, Kostjukovich (1978); Berezin, Tolkachev, Fedorov (1970); Berezin, Fedorov (1983); Fedorov (1982). There were written three monographs: Fedorov (1979); Ivanitskaya (1979); Berezin, Kurochkin, Tolkachev (1979).

Brumby, Foot, Volkas (1996); Annandan (1998); Erdem (1998); Erber (2004). The same can be noted for many other aspects of the Lorentz group theory; Penrose (1974); Good (1995); Buchbinder, Gitman, Shelepin (2001); much attention is given the Majorana object (for instance see: Huet and Neuberger (1996,1998); Dvoeglazov (1977); on applying of quaternions see: Stefano, Rodrigues (1998).

Several words must be added in connection with general spinor approach in physics and the idea on spinor structure of space-time: Newman and Penrose (1962); Frolov (1977); Alexeev and Khlebnikov (1978); Penrose and Rindler (1984,1986) - in the last books see comprehensive list of references on the subject.

It is well-known that the space-time vector $x^a = (t, x, y, z)$ can be identified with the explicit realization of the one-valued simplest representation of the (restricted) Lorentz group $L_+^\uparrow$. But in nature we face particles of integer and half-integer spin, bosons and fermions. Among respective sets of Lorentz group representations, entities describing bosons and fermions, there exists a clear-cut distinction: boson-based representations $T_{bos.}$ are single-valued whereas fermion-based representations $T_{ferm.}$ are double-valued on the group $L_+^\uparrow$. In other words, $T_{bos.}$ are global representations, whereas $T_{ferm.}$ are just local ones. One might have advanced a number of theoretical arguments to neglect such a slight trouble. However, the fact of prime importance is that this global-local difficulty cannot be cleared up – in the frames of the orthogonal group $L_+^\uparrow = SO(3.1)$ it is insurmountable. It has long been known that to work against the global-local problem one must investigate and employ one-valued representations (boson-based as well as fermion-based) of the covering group $SL(2.C)$. The group $SL(2.C)$ is other group, different from $L_+^\uparrow = SO(3.1)$, but it is linked to the latter by a quite definite homomorphic mapping. At this every local representation of the orthogonal group has its counterpart – global representation of the covering group; evidently, it is a quite usual procedure.

In essence, a strong form of this changing $L_+^\uparrow = SO(3.1) \implies SL(2.C)$, when instead of the orthogonal Lorentz group $L_+^\uparrow = SO(3.1)$ we are going to employ the covering group $SL(2.C)$ and its representations throughout, and in addition we are going to work in the same manner at describing the space-time structure itself, is the known Penrose-Rindler spinor approach.

The idea on spinor structure of space-time can be of crucial significance in our attempts to solve the problem of classifying the fermions in intrinsic parities. Indeed, it is natural requirement: if one adopts the space-time with spinor structure, then one must consider the problem of classifying particles in intrinsic parities in terms of exact linear representations of the covering for the full Lorentz group $L_{+,-}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$, including P and T - reflections. This problem was considered previously by one of authors: Red'kov (1996, 2002). Now we extend the results to spinor covering for complex Lorentz group.

The main goal of the present paper is to give a brief account of the ways to use some parameters while working with the Lorentz group and the groups related to it. In particular, we are interested in extending all the apparatus on the complex Lorentz group – on group theoretical ground for twistors idea see Penrose and Rindler (1986). And finally one other idea was of value while preparing this paper: we have presented one special way to treat the theory of general linear complex group $GL(4.C)$ in the line given by the Dirac matrix algebra. On one hand, we obtain extension of the methods used and developed for Lorentz group theory; on the other hand, this linear group includes unitary sub-groups, $SU(4)$ and related to it, which may be interesting in many physical applications.

The paper is organized as follows:

1. Introduction
2. On linear (tensor and vector) parametrization of the group $GL(4.C)$
3. Parametrization of the complex Lorentz group and its subgroups
4. Complex Euler's angles, coordinates on the sphere
5. On connection between Fedorov's construction for the Lorentz group theory and Einstein-Mayer concept of semi-vectors
6. Complex Lorentz group and quaternions
7. On the use of Newman-Penrose formalism
8. The covering for $SO(3.1.C)$ and intrinsic fermion parity
9. On the structure of Majorana bases
10. Conclusions

2 On linear (tensor and vector) parametrization of the group $GL(4.C)$

We have started from simple idea: any 4-matrix can be resolved in terms of 16 basic elements of Dirac matrix algebra, so we represent a matrix $G \in GL(4.C)$ as linear combination of Dirac ones

$$G = A I + iB \gamma^5 + iA_l \gamma^l + B_l \gamma^l \gamma^5 + F_{mn} \sigma^{mn} . \quad (1)$$

We can determine a natural way to parameterize the linear group $GL(4.C)$ in terms of 16 basic elements of independent complex (tensor) quantities $G = G(A, B, A_k, B_k, F_{kl})$. With the use of algebraic properties of the Dirac matrices we have derived the composition rule for the introduced parameters of the group $GL(4.C)$

$$\begin{aligned} A'' &= A' A - B' B - A'_l A^l - B'_l B^l - \frac{1}{2} F'_{kl} F^{kl} , \\ B'' &= A' B + B' A + A'_l B^l - B'_l A^l + \frac{1}{4} F'_{mn} F_{cd} \epsilon^{mncd} , \\ A'_l &= A' A_l - B' B_l + A'_l A + B'_l B + A'^k F_{kl} + \\ &\quad + F'_{lk} A^k + \frac{1}{2} B'_k F_{mn} \epsilon_l{}^{kmn} + \frac{1}{2} F'_{mn} B_k \epsilon_l{}^{mnk} , \\ B'_l &= A' B_l + B' A_l - A'_l B + B'_l A + B'^k F_{kl} + \\ &\quad + F'_{lk} B^k + \frac{1}{2} A'_k F_{mn} \epsilon^{kmn}{}_l + \frac{1}{2} F'_{mn} A_k \epsilon^{mnk}{}_l , \\ F''_{mn} &= A' F_{mn} + F'_{mn} A - (A'_m A_n - A'_n A_m) - (B'_m B_n - B'_n B_m) + \\ &\quad + A'_l B_k \epsilon^{lkmn} - B'_l A_k \epsilon^{lkmn} + \frac{1}{2} B' F_{kl} \epsilon^{kl}{}_{mn} + \frac{1}{2} F'_{kl} B \epsilon^{kl}{}_{mn} + \\ &\quad + (F'_{mk} F^k{}_n - F'_{nk} F^k{}_m) . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For the following, it is convenient to use (3+1)-splitting:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= A I + iB \gamma^5 + iA_0 \gamma^0 + iA_i \gamma^i + \\ &\quad + B_0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 + B_i \gamma^i \gamma^5 + a_i K^i + b_i J^i \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the notation is used

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &= (a_i) = (F_{0i}) , & \mathbf{b} &= (b_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ikl} F_{kl} \right) , \\ \mathbf{K} &= (K^i) = (2\sigma^{0i}) , & \mathbf{J} &= (J^i) = (\epsilon_{ikl} \sigma^{kl}) . \end{aligned}$$

In spinor basis of Dirac matrices [113]

$$\gamma^a = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \bar{\sigma}^a \\ \sigma^a & 0 \end{vmatrix} , \quad \sigma^a = (I, \sigma^j), \bar{\sigma}^a = (I, -\sigma^j), \gamma^5 = \begin{vmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & +I \end{vmatrix} ,$$

the matrix (3) will take the form

$$G = \begin{vmatrix} k_0 + \mathbf{k} \vec{\sigma} & n_0 - \mathbf{n} \vec{\sigma} \\ -l_0 - \mathbf{l} \vec{\sigma} & m_0 - \mathbf{m} \vec{\sigma} \end{vmatrix} , \quad (4)$$

where the notation is used:

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &= a_0 - ib_0 , & \mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{a} - i\mathbf{b} , \\ m_0 &= a_0 + ib_0 , & \mathbf{m} &= \mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b} , \\ l_0 &= B_0 - iA_0 , & \mathbf{l} &= \mathbf{B} - i\mathbf{A} , \\ n_0 &= B_0 + iA_0 , & \mathbf{n} &= \mathbf{B} + i\mathbf{A} . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In these parameters $(k, m; n, l)$ the composition rule (2)

$$(k'', m''; n'', l'') = < (k', m'; n', l'), (k, m; n, l) > ;$$

will take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0'' &= k_0' k_0 + \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k} - n_0' l_0 + \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{l} , \\
\mathbf{k}'' &= k_0' \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' k_0 + i \mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{k} - n_0' \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{n}' l_0 + i \mathbf{n}' \times \mathbf{l} , \\
m_0'' &= m_0' m_0 + \mathbf{m}' \cdot \mathbf{m} - l_0' n_0 + \mathbf{l}' \cdot \mathbf{n} , \\
\mathbf{m}'' &= m_0' \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}' m_0 - i \mathbf{m}' \times \mathbf{m} - l_0' \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{l}' n_0 - i \mathbf{l}' \times \mathbf{n} , \\
n_0'' &= k_0' n_0 - \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{n} + n_0' m_0 + \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{m} , \\
\mathbf{n}'' &= k_0' \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{k}' n_0 + i \mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{n} + n_0' \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}' m_0 - i \mathbf{n}' \times \mathbf{m} , \\
l_0'' &= l_0' k_0 + \mathbf{l}' \cdot \mathbf{k} + m_0' l_0 - \mathbf{m}' \cdot \mathbf{l} , \\
\mathbf{l}'' &= l_0' \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l}' k_0 + i \mathbf{l}' \times \mathbf{k} + m_0' \mathbf{l} - \mathbf{m}' l_0 - i \mathbf{m}' \times \mathbf{l} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The formulas (6) are true for any matrices from the group $GL(4, C)$. This parametrization could be the base for theory of the special linear groups $SL(4, C)$ and $SL(4, R)$, and also for the theory of the unitary groups $SU(4)$, $SU(3.1)$, $SU(2.2)$; they will be considered separately elsewhere.

3 Parametrization of the complex Lorentz group and its subgroups

Below we will restrict ourselves to the case of 4×4 matrices when

$$G(A, B, A_k = 0, B_k = 0, F_{kl}), \quad \text{or} \quad G(k, m; 0, 0) ,$$

i.e. consider the 8-parametric 4×4 matrices in the quasi diagonal form

$$G = \begin{vmatrix} k_0 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & m_0 - \mathbf{m} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \end{vmatrix} . \tag{7}$$

The composition rules (2) and (6) are reduced respectively to

$$\begin{aligned}
A'' &= A' A - B' B - \frac{1}{2} F_{kl}' F^{kl} , \\
B'' &= A' B + B' A + \frac{1}{4} F_{mn}' F_{cd} \epsilon^{mncd} , \\
F_{mn}'' &= (A' F_{mn} + F_{mn}' A) + \left(\frac{1}{2} B' F_{kl} \epsilon^{kl}{}_{mn} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} F_{kl}' B \epsilon^{kl}{}_{mn} \right) + (F_{mk}' F_n^k - F_{nk}' F_m^k) ;
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0'' &= k_0' k_0 + \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{k} , & \mathbf{k}'' &= k_0' \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' k_0 + i \mathbf{k}' \times \mathbf{k} ; \\
m_0'' &= m_0' m_0 + \mathbf{m}' \cdot \mathbf{m} , & \mathbf{m}'' &= m_0' \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}' m_0 - i \mathbf{m}' \times \mathbf{m} .
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

With two additional constraints on 8 quantities (A, B, F_{kl}) :

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 &= +1, & m_0^2 - \mathbf{m}^2 &= +1 , & \text{or} \\
A B - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} &= 0 , & (A^2 - B^2) - (\mathbf{a}^2 - \mathbf{b}^2) &= +1
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

we will arrive at a definite way to parameterize spinor covering for complex Lorentz group $SO(3.1, C) \sim SO(4, C)$, treated here as a sub-group of the linear group $GL(4, C)$. At this, the problem of inverting the G matrices is easily solved: in tensor and vector forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= G(A, B, F_{kl}) , & G^{-1} &= G(A, B, -F_{kl}) , \\
G &= G(k_0, \mathbf{k}, m_0, \mathbf{m}), & G^{-1} &= G(k_0, -\mathbf{k}, m_0, -\mathbf{m}).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Transition from covering 4-spinor transformations to 4-vector ones is performed through the known relationship

$$G \gamma^a G^{-1} = \gamma^c L_c{}^a$$

which determine $2 \implies 1$ map from $\pm G$ to L . At this an explicit form of the Lorentz vector matrices is derived, they are bilinear functions of (A, B, F_{kl}) or (k_a, m_a) :

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^0 &= k_0 m_0 + k_j m_j , \\ (L_j^0) &= -k_0 m_j - m_0 k_j - i \epsilon_{jln} k_l m_n , \\ (L_0^j) &= -k_0 m_j - m_0 k_j + i \epsilon_{jln} k_l m_n , \\ L_l^j &= (k_0 m_0 - k_n m_n) \delta_{lj} + \\ &+ (m_l k_j + k_l m_j) + i \epsilon_{ljn} (k_0 m_n - m_0 k_n) . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

There exist the direct connection between the above 4-dimensional vector parametrization of the spinor group $G(k_a, m_a)$ and the Fedorov's parametrization [100, 137] of the group of complex orthogonal Lorentz transformations with the help of 3-dimensional vectors (to the case of real Lorentz group corresponds imposing an additional constrain $m_a = k_a^*$ or $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Q}^*$):

$$\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\pm \mathbf{k}}{\pm k_0} , \quad \mathbf{M} = \frac{\pm \mathbf{m}}{\pm m_0} , \quad k_0 = \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{k}^2} , \quad m_0 = \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{m}^2} ; \quad (13)$$

with the simple composition rules for vector parameters³

$$\mathbf{Q}'' = \frac{\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}' + i \mathbf{Q}' \times \mathbf{Q}}{1 + \mathbf{Q}' \cdot \mathbf{Q}} ; \quad \mathbf{M}'' = \frac{\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}' - i \mathbf{M}' \times \mathbf{M}}{1 + \mathbf{M}' \cdot \mathbf{M}} ; \quad (14)$$

Evidently, this pair $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{M})$ provides us with possibility to parameterize correctly orthogonal matrices only. Instead, the (A, B, F_{kl}) or (k_a, m_a) represent correct parameters for the spinor covering group.

It should be noted that there exists one other way to parameterize 4-spinor (covering) matrices by means of two complex vectors without any additional restrictions on them – it was given in [139]:

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\mathbf{k}}{1 + k_0} , \quad \mathbf{s} = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{1 + m_0} . \quad (15)$$

It is readily verified that the sign distinction in pure spinor matrices transforms into the form

$$\begin{aligned} (k_0, \mathbf{k}) &\implies \mathbf{p}, & (-k_0, -\mathbf{k}) &\implies \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^2} = \mathbf{p}' , \\ (m_0, \mathbf{m}) &\implies \mathbf{s}, & (-m_0, -\mathbf{m}) &\implies \frac{\mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{s}^2} = \mathbf{s}' . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Sometimes, when we are interested only in local properties of the spinor representations then no substantial differences between orthogonal groups and their spinor coverings exist. However, in opposite cases global difference between orthogonal and spinor groups may be very substantial as well as correct parametrization of them.

One may compile the table in which all parametrizations used are listed:

complex tensors A, B, F_{kl}
(two subsidiary conditions are imposed; spinor and orthogonal groups are parameterized);

complex bi-vector f_{kl}
(no subsidiary conditions are impose; orthogonal group only are parameterized);

two complex 4-vectors k_a and m_a
(two subsidiary conditions are imposed; spinor and orthogonal group are parameterized);

two complex 3-vectors $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{M}$
(no subsidiary conditions imposed; orthogonal group only is parameterized);

two complex 3-vector $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{s}$
(no subsidiary condition are imposed; spinor and orthogonal group are parameterized);

complex Euler angles (α, β, γ)

³Slight difference with formulas from [137] can be eliminated by the formal change $\mathbf{Q} \implies i\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{M} \implies i\mathbf{M}$.

(curvilinear coordinates with no subsidiary conditions,
different domains for spinor and orthogonal matrices);

complex curvilinear coordinates (u^1, u^2, u^3) on $SO(4.C)$
(34 types of curvilinear coordinates,
no subsidiary conditions,
different domains for spinor and orthogonal matrices);

Restrictions specifying the spinor coverings for orthogonal sub-groups

$$SO(3.1.R), SO(2.2.R), SO(4.R), SO(3.C), SO(3.R), SO(2.1.R)$$

are well known [118,123,. In particular, restriction to real Lorentz group $O(3.1, R)$ is achieved by imposing one condition (including complex conjugation)

$$(k, m) \implies (k, k^*) . \quad (17)$$

The case of real orthogonal group $O(4.R)$ is achieved by a formal change (transition to real parameters)

$$(k_0, \mathbf{k}) \implies (k_0, i \mathbf{k}) , \quad (m_0, \mathbf{m}) \implies (m_0, i \mathbf{m}) , \quad (18)$$

and the real orthogonal group $O(2.2, R)$ corresponds transition to real parameters according to

$$\begin{aligned} (k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3) &\implies (k_0, k_1, k_2, ik_3) , \\ (m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) &\implies (m_0, m_1, m_2, im_3) . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Expressions for vector and spinor (covering) matrices concurrently for all these groups can be readily written in explicit form.

4 Complex Euler's angles, coordinates on the sphere

To parameterize 4-spinor and 4-vector transformations of the complex Lorentz group one may use curvilinear coordinates. The simplest and widely used ones are Euler's complex angles [64, 70, 90-93, 137, 144]. This possibility is closely connected with cylindrical coordinates on the complex 3-sphere ⁴. Such complex cylindrical coordinates can be introduced by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 &= \cos \rho \cos z , \quad k_3 = i \cos \rho \sin z , \quad k_1 = i \sin \rho \cos \phi , \quad k_2 = i \sin \rho \sin \phi , \\ m_0 &= \cos R \cos Z , \quad m_3 = i \cos R \sin Z , \quad m_1 = i \sin R \Phi , \quad m_2 = i \sin R \sin \Phi . \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here 6 complex variables are independent, (ρ, z, ϕ) , (R, Z, Φ) , additional restrictions are satisfied identically by definition. Instead of cylindrical coordinates (ρ, z, ϕ) (R, Z, Φ) one can introduce Euler's complex variables (α, β, γ) and (A, B, Γ) through the simple linear formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \phi + z , \quad \beta = 2\rho , \quad \gamma = \phi - z , \\ A &= \Phi + Z , \quad B = 2R , \quad \Gamma = \Phi - Z . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

⁴In general, on the base of the analysis given by Olewski [162] on coordinates in the Lobachevski space, one can propose 34 different complex coordinate systems appropriate to parameterize the complex Lorentz group and its 4-spinor covering.

Euler's angles (α, β, γ) and (A, B, Γ) are referred to k_a, m_a -parameters by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \beta &= k_0^2 - k_3^2 + k_1^2 + k_2^2, & \sin \beta &= 2 \sqrt{k_0^2 - k_3^2} \sqrt{-k_1^2 - k_2^2}, \\
\cos \alpha &= \frac{-ik_0 k_1 + k_2 k_3}{\sqrt{k_0^2 - k_3^2} \sqrt{-k_1^2 - k_2^2}}, & \sin \alpha &= \frac{-ik_0 k_2 - k_1 k_3}{\sqrt{k_0^2 - k_3^2} \sqrt{-k_1^2 - k_2^2}}, \\
\cos \gamma &= \frac{-ik_0 k_1 - k_2 k_3}{\sqrt{k_0^2 - k_3^2} \sqrt{-k_1^2 - k_2^2}}, & \sin \gamma &= \frac{-ik_0 k_2 + k_1 k_3}{\sqrt{k_0^2 - k_3^2} \sqrt{-k_1^2 - k_2^2}}; \\
\cos B &= m_0^2 - m_3^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2, & \sin B &= 2 \sqrt{m_0^2 - m_3^2} \sqrt{-m_1^2 - m_2^2}, \\
\cos A &= \frac{+im_0 m_1 + m_2 m_3}{\sqrt{m_0^2 - m_3^2} \sqrt{-m_1^2 - m_2^2}}, & \sin A &= \frac{+im_0 m_2 - m_1 m_3}{\sqrt{m_0^2 - m_3^2} \sqrt{-m_1^2 - m_2^2}}, \\
\cos \Gamma &= \frac{+im_0 m_1 - m_2 m_3}{\sqrt{m_0^2 - m_3^2} \sqrt{-m_1^2 - m_2^2}}, & \sin \Gamma &= \frac{+im_0 m_2 + m_1 m_3}{\sqrt{m_0^2 - m_3^2} \sqrt{-m_1^2 - m_2^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Complex Euler's angles as parameters for complex Lorentz group have distinguished feature: 2-spinor constituents are factorized into three elementary Euler's transforms (σ^i stands for the known Pauli matrices):

$$B(k) = e^{-i\sigma^3 \alpha/2} e^{i\sigma^1 \beta/2} e^{i\sigma^3 \gamma/2}, \quad B(\bar{m}) = e^{-i\sigma^3 \Gamma/2} e^{i\sigma^1 B/2} e^{i\sigma^3 A/2}. \tag{23}$$

5 On connection between Fedorov's construction for the Lorentz group theory and Einstein-Mayer concept of semi-vectors

The main relations serving the initial points for systematically constructing the Lorentz group theory in the Fedorov approach and its generalization now can be straightforwardly written down – the origin of these is evident when turning to the spinor covering matrices specified in Weyl basis, where they have a quasi diagonal structure (7). For the complex Lorentz group it looks as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
B(k) &= \sigma^a k_a, \quad B(m) = \sigma^a m_a, \quad \bar{m} = (m_0, -m_i), \\
G(k, m) &= \begin{vmatrix} B(k) & 0 \\ 0 & B(\bar{m}) \end{vmatrix}, \\
G(k, I) &= \begin{vmatrix} B(k) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{vmatrix}, \quad G(I, m) = \begin{vmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B(\bar{m}) \end{vmatrix}, \\
G(k, I)G(I, m) &= G(I, m)G(k, I) = G(k, m), \\
L(k, I)L(I, m) &= L(I, m)L(k, I) = L(k, m).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Explicit forms of $L(k, I)$ and $L(I, m)$ are

$$L(k, I) = \begin{vmatrix} k_0 & -k_1 & -k_2 & -k_3 \\ -k_1 & k_0 & -ik_3 & ik_2 \\ -k_2 & ik_3 & k_0 & -ik_1 \\ -k_3 & -ik_2 & ik_1 & k_0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad L(k, I) = \begin{vmatrix} m_0 & -m_1 & -m_2 & -m_3 \\ -m_1 & m_0 & im_3 & -im_2 \\ -m_2 & -im_3 & m_0 & im_1 \\ -m_3 & im_2 & -im_1 & m_0 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

In the case of real Lorentz group, when $m = k^*$, the above relations will take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
G(k, I)G(I, k^*) &= G(I, k^*)G(k, I) = G(k, k^*), \\
L(k, I)L(I, k^*) &= L(I, k^*)L(k, I) = L(k, k^*), \\
L(I, k^*) &= [L(k, I)]^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

It should especially be noted that existence of such a factorized structure in the theory of Lorentz group has long been known: it appeared in 1932 when Einstein and Mayer had published a paper of a series of these [26–28]) on the so called semi-vectors, special 4-dimensional complex quantities, 1-st and 2-nd types, transformed by means of complex matrices $L(k, I)$ or $L(I, k^*)$ respectively.

Complex 4-dimension quantities transformed with the help of the matrices $L(k, I)$ and $L(I, m)$ by definition are called semi-vectors of 1-st and 2-nd type (respectively U and V semi-vector):

$$U' = L(k, I)U, \quad V' = L(I, m)V. \quad (27)$$

With the help of special linear transformations, the matrices defining the transformation laws for semi-vector can be changed to quasi diagonal form. Indeed (see [137])

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} U_0 \\ U_1 \\ U_2 \\ U_3 \end{vmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & i & 0 & i \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \xi^2 \\ \Xi_1 \\ \Xi_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad U = F \Psi, \\ U' = L(k, I)U : &\implies \Psi' = (F^{-1}LF) \Psi, \\ F^{-1}L(k, I)F &= \begin{vmatrix} B(k) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 B(k)\sigma^2 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

This means that the semi-vector U is equivalent to a direct sum of the following 2-spinors (with differently positioned indices):

$$U \implies (\xi^1, \xi^2) \oplus (\Xi_1, \Xi_2). \quad (29)$$

Now analogously one should consider V semi-vector transformed by the matrix $L(I, m)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} V_0 \\ V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{vmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ H^1 \\ H^2 \end{vmatrix}, \\ V = L(I, m)V : &\implies \Phi' = (\varphi^{-1}L\varphi) \Phi, \\ \varphi^{-1}L(I, m)\varphi &= \begin{vmatrix} B(\bar{m}) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 B(\bar{m})\sigma^2 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In other words, the semi-vector V is equivalent to a direct sum of two following spinors:

$$V \implies (\eta_1, \eta_2) \oplus (H^1, H^2). \quad (31)$$

Restriction to real Lorentz group is achieved by imposing $m = k^*$, therefore the spinor η transforming with the help of the law

$$\eta = \begin{vmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \eta' = B^+(\bar{k}) \eta;$$

which corresponds to a standard convention on spinor (dotted and non-dotted) indices [113].

6 Complex Lorentz group and quaternions

Lorentz matrices (25) can be represented in a short algebraic form in the frame of quaternion notation (see for example in [90-93, 130, 138, 144, 156, 157]):

$$L(k, I) = k_0 e_0 + k_i e_i = k_a e_a, \quad L(I, m) = m_0 e_0^* + m_i e_i^* = m_a e_a^*, \quad (32)$$

where (the asterisk symbol $*$ stands for complex conjugation)

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, & e_1 &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \\ e_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, & e_3 &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The quaternion quantities e_a e_a^* obey the rules:

$$e_k e_l = \delta_{kl} + i\epsilon_{kl n} e_n, \quad e_k^* e_l^* = \delta_{kl} - i\epsilon_{kl n} e_n^*, \quad e_i e_j^* = e_j^* e_i. \quad (34)$$

The complex Lorentz matrix can be resolved in terms of (double) quaternion units $e_a e_b^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} L(k, m) &= (k_0 + k_i e_i)(m_0 + m_j e_j^*) = \\ &= k_0 m_0 + (k_0 m_j e_j^* + m_0 k_i e_i) + k_i m_j e_i e_j^*; \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

in case of real Lorentz group, when $m_a = k_a^*$, the previous relation will look

$$L(k, k^*) = k_0 k_0^* + k_0 k_j^* e_j^* + k_i k_0^* e_i + k_i k_j^* e_i e_j^*. \quad (36)$$

Let us briefly discuss one special application of the quaternions in the Lorentz group theory. If the vector Lorentz matrix is done explicitly, one should find a corresponding 4-spinor matrix, that is two parameters (k, k^*) of G . The above structure of real matrix $L(k, k^*)$ points out another simple way to treat the same problem, which is based on looking at the coefficients at quaternion combinations $e_a e_b^*$.

From known

$$|k_0|^2 I, \quad |k_1|^2 e_1 e_1^*, \quad |k_2|^2 e_2 e_2^*, \quad |k_3|^2 e_3 e_3^* ;$$

one can determine the modulus $|k_a|$, and then from

$$(k_0 k_1^*) e_1^*, (k_0^* k_1) e_1, \quad (k_0 k_2^*) e_2^*, (k_0^* k_2) e_2, \quad (k_0 k_3^*) e_3^*, (k_0^* k_3) e_3$$

one may find the phases of k_a .

The same problem may be posed for the complex Lorentz group as well. Starting from a given complex matrix $L(k, m)$ one may wish to find two parameters k and m . Let an algorithm to establish the numerical matrix consisting of the coefficient at quaternion combinations $e_a e_b^*$ be known:

$$M_{ab} = k_a m_b. \quad (37)$$

Having the matrix M be given, one may solve the problem as follows.

1) From elements of first line (with $\pm$ sign ambiguity) one finds the k_0 :

$$k_0 = \pm \sqrt{(k_0 m_0)^2 - (k_0 m_1)^2 - (k_0 m_2)^2 - (k_0 m_3)^2} \quad (38)$$

2) From elements of the first row one finds remaining three components k_1, k_2, k_3 :

$$k_1 = k_0 \frac{M_{10}}{M_{00}}, \quad k_2 = k_0 \frac{M_{20}}{M_{00}}, \quad k_3 = k_0 \frac{M_{30}}{M_{00}}. \quad (39)$$

3) From elements of first row one finds m_0 :

$$m_0 = \pm \sqrt{(k_0 m_0)^2 - (k_1 m_0)^2 - (k_2 m_0)^2 - (k_3 m_0)^2}, \quad m_0 k_0 = M_{00} \quad (40)$$

the sign (+ or -) of m_0 must be consistent with the sign of k_0 .

4) From elements of the first line one finds three components m_1, m_2, m_3 :

$$m_1 = m_0 \frac{M_{01}}{M_{00}}, \quad m_2 = m_0 \frac{M_{02}}{M_{00}}, \quad m_3 = m_0 \frac{M_{03}}{M_{00}}. \quad (41)$$

The matrix M has a diad-structure (37) that leads to the equations (one should take into account the identities: $k\bar{k} = 1$, $\bar{k} = \delta k$, $m\bar{m} = 1$, $\bar{m} = \delta m$):

$$M_{ab} \bar{m}_b = k_a, \quad M_{cb} \bar{k}_c = m_b \quad (42)$$

From these one can easily reduce the task to determine k and m from given $L(k, m)$ to a pair of eigen-value problems. Indeed, eqs. (42) can be rewritten in matrix form

$$M \bar{\delta} m = k, \quad \tilde{M} \bar{\delta} k = m,$$

from where

$$(M \bar{\delta} \tilde{M} \bar{\delta}) k = k, \quad (\tilde{M} \bar{\delta} M \bar{\delta}) m = m. \quad (43)$$

Thus, we have constructed the matrices for which the 4-vector parameters k and m are eigen-vectors.

7 On the use of Newman-Penrose formalism

In the real Lorentz group theory, especially in connection to the problems particle fields in general relativity the wide use is found the so-called isotropic basis (also commonly known as Newman-Penrose formalism of the light tetrad [163-168]). This basis can be effectively employed in the the theory of complex Lorentz group as well. Let us turn again to the factorized form (24) of the complex Lorentz matrices

$$L(k, m) = L(k, I)L(I, m) = L(I, m)L(k, I),$$

and perform a special similarity transformation

$$\begin{aligned} L(k, I) &\implies L_{isotr}(k, I) = SL(k, I)S^{-1}, \\ L(I, m) &\implies L_{isotr}(I, m) = SL(I, m)S^{-1}, \\ L(k, m) &\implies U(k, m) = L(k, m)_{isotr} = SL(k, m)S^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 1 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad S^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & i & -i \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

in the isotropic basis we will obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{isotr}(k, I) &= \begin{vmatrix} (k_0 - k_3) & 0 & -(k_1 + ik_2) & 0 \\ 0 & (k_0 + k_3) & 0 & -(k_1 - ik_2) \\ -(k_1 - ik_2) & 0 & (k_0 + k_3) & 0 \\ 0 & -(k_1 + ik_2) & 0 & (k_0 - k_3) \end{vmatrix}, \\ L_{isotr}(I, m) &= \begin{vmatrix} (m_0 - m_3) & 0 & 0 & -(m_1 - im_2) \\ 0 & (m_0 + m_3) & -(m_1 + im_2) & 0 \\ 0 & -(m_1 - im_2) & (m_0 - m_3) & 0 \\ -(m_1 + im_2) & 0 & 0 & (m_0 + m_3) \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to employ the other notation referring to initial spinor matrices:

$$B(k) = \begin{vmatrix} a & d \\ c & b \end{vmatrix}, \quad B(\bar{m}) = \begin{vmatrix} B & -C \\ -D & A \end{vmatrix},$$

then the previous relations will take the form

$$L_{isotr}(k, I) = \begin{vmatrix} b & 0 & -c & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & -d \\ -d & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & -c & 0 & b \end{vmatrix}, \quad L_{isotr}(I, m) = \begin{vmatrix} B & 0 & 0 & -C \\ 0 & A & -D & 0 \\ 0 & -C & B & 0 \\ -D & 0 & 0 & A \end{vmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

The Lorentz complex vector matrix $L_{isotr}(k, m)$ in isotropic basis looks as

$$L_{isotr}(k, m) = \begin{vmatrix} bB & cC & -cB & -bC \\ dD & aA & -aD & -dA \\ -dB & -aC & aB & dC \\ -bD & -cA & cD & bA \end{vmatrix} \in SO(3.1.C). \quad (46)$$

Restriction to real Lorentz group is achieved by a formal substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\longrightarrow a^*, & B &\longrightarrow b^*, & C &\longrightarrow c^*, & D &\longrightarrow d^*, \\ L_{isotr}(k, k^*) &= \begin{vmatrix} bb^* & cc^* & -cb^* & -bc^* \\ dd^* & aa^* & -ad^* & -da^* \\ -db^* & -ac^* & ab^* & dc^* \\ -bd^* & -ca^* & cd^* & ba^* \end{vmatrix} \in SO(3.1.R). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

In contrast to the usual (non isotropic) basis, here the Lorentz matrix consists of 16 elements each of these is constructed as a single multiple of two quantities. In the case of ordinary basis each matrix element represent a sum of four such combinations. It is this feature that makes the isotropic basis so helpful in applications.

8 The covering for $SO(3.1.C)$ and intrinsic fermion parity

The problem of intrinsic parity for a fermion has a long history (see Introduction), the problem seems to be unsolved till now. The main difficulty consists in double valuedness of spinors considered in the frames of orthogonal groups. In our opinion, the problem should be studied on the basis of the representation theory for spinor covering of the orthogonal groups.⁵ Below we propose the way to solve this problem through extension of the covering for complex Lorentz group $SO(3.1.C)$ by adding two discrete 4-spinor operations, $P = i\gamma^0$ and $T = \gamma^0\gamma^5$ can be quite easily solved⁶. One may search pure spinor representations of the covering group $G_{cover} = \{G \oplus P \oplus T\}$ in the form

$$T(g) = s(g) g, \quad g \in G_{cover}, \quad s(g_1) s(g_2) = s(g_1 g_2), \quad (48)$$

where $s(g)$ is a numerical function on the group. There exist four different solutions $s_i(g)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_{cover} & s_1(g) = & s_2(g) = & s_3(g) = & s_4(g) = \\ G(k, m) & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\ P & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\ T & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 \end{array} \quad (49)$$

Correspondingly we arrive at four type of representations $S_i(g)$ of the group G_{cover} . With the use of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} F = \text{const} \begin{vmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & +I \end{vmatrix}, \quad F G(k, m) F^{-1} = G(k, m), \\ F P F^{-1} = -P, \quad F T F^{-1} = -T, \end{aligned}$$

one can conclude that representation $S_2(g)$ is equivalent to $S_1(g)$, and $S_4(g)$ is equivalent to $S_3(g)$:

$$S_2(g) = F S_1(g) F^{-1}, \quad S_4(g) = F S_3(g) F^{-1}. \quad (50)$$

Thus, there exist only two different types of the pure spinor representations of the covering group

$$S_1(g) \sim S_2(g), \quad S_3(g) \sim S_4(g). \quad (51)$$

This doubling can be connected with intrinsic space-time parity for a fermion. Special attention should be given to the following: no separate P -parity or T -parity for a fermion exist in the group-theoretical sense, instead the group theory leads to a unified intrinsic characteristic as a fermion's parity.

Manifestation of these two discrete spinor operations in tensor representation one can examine by looking at 2-rank 4-spinor:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi' &= G\Psi, \quad \Phi' = G\Phi, \quad \Psi \otimes \Phi = U, \quad U' = GU\tilde{G}, \\ U &= [\varphi I + i\tilde{\varphi} \gamma^5 + i\varphi_l \gamma^l + \tilde{\varphi}_l \gamma^l \gamma^5 + \varphi_{mn} \sigma_{mn}] \gamma^0 \gamma^2; \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

the symbol of tilde over G stands for the matrix transposition. $\gamma^0 \gamma^2$ is a 4-spinor metric matrix. The transformations properties of irreducible tensor components of 2-rank 4-spinor are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G}: \quad & \varphi' = \varphi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}' = \tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = L_k^l \varphi_l, \quad \tilde{\varphi}'_k = L_k^l \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = L_k^m L_l^n \varphi_{mn}, \\ \underline{P}: \quad & \varphi' = +\varphi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}' = -\tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = +L_k^{(P)l} \varphi_l, \quad \tilde{\varphi}'_k = -L_k^{(P)l} \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = +L_k^{(P)m} L_l^{(P)n} \varphi_{mn}, \\ \underline{T}: \quad & \varphi' = -\varphi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}' = +\tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = -L_k^{(T)l} \varphi_l, \quad \tilde{\varphi}'_k = +L_k^{(T)l} \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = -L_k^{(T)m} L_l^{(T)n} \varphi_{mn}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

⁵In essence, a strong form of this changing orthogonal groups to their covering groups and its representations throughout, and when we are going to work in the same manner at describing the space-time structure itself, is the known Penrose-Rindler spinor approach [163-167].

⁶The choice of phase factors at these two matrices is in accordance with Racah choice [39]. In any of Majorana bases these discrete operations are given by real matrices.

where

$$L_c^{(P)a} = \bar{\delta}_c^a = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad L_c^{(T)a} = -\bar{\delta}_c^a = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

In order to construct tensors with different properties under discrete operations one should take into account the possibility to distinguish 4-spinor with respect to intrinsic parity.

The 2-rank 4-spinor of the type $U_{13} = \Psi_1 \otimes \Phi_3 \sim \Psi_3 \otimes \Phi_1$ contains irreducible tensors with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G}: \quad & \varphi' = \varphi, & \tilde{\varphi}' &= \tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = L_k^l \varphi_l, & \tilde{\varphi}'_k &= L_k^l \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = L_k^m L_l^n \varphi_{mn}, \\ \underline{P}: \quad & \varphi' = +\varphi, & \tilde{\varphi}' &= -\tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = +L_k^{(P)l} \varphi_l, & \tilde{\varphi}'_k &= -L_k^{(P)l} \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = +L_k^{(P)m} L_l^{(P)n} \varphi_{mn}, \\ \underline{T}: \quad & \varphi' = +\varphi, & \tilde{\varphi}' &= -\tilde{\varphi}, \\ & \varphi'_k = +L_k^{(T)l} \varphi_l, & \tilde{\varphi}'_k &= -L_k^{(T)l} \tilde{\varphi}_l, \\ & \varphi'_{kl} = +L_k^{(T)m} L_l^{(T)n} \varphi_{mn}, \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Thus all four type of scalars and vectors, $(++)$, $(--)$, $(+-)$, $(-+)$ have been constructed.

Now we consider the problem of linear representations of the spinor groups that are supposedly cover the partly extended Lorentz groups $L_{+-}^\uparrow$ and $L_{+-}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ (improper orthochronous and proper non-orthochronous respectively). Such covering of partly extended Lorentz group can be constructed by adding only one matrix discrete operation: P or T . The result obtained for simplest representations of these groups is as follows: all above representations $S_i(g)$ at confining them to sub-groups $\{G \oplus P\}$ or $\{G \oplus T\}$ lead to representations changing into each other by a similarity transformation. In other words, in fact there exists only one representation of these partly extended spinor groups. This may be understood as impossibility to determine any group-theoretical parity concept (P or T) within the limits of partly extended spinor groups⁷

9 On the structure of Majorana bases

Additionally, several points in connection to the Majorana bases in the context of the real Lorentz group should be added. In particular, any Lorentz transformation in bispinor space is resolved in terms of the Dirac matrices [101]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(k, k^*) &= \frac{1}{2}(k_0 + k_0^*) - \frac{1}{2}(k_0 - k_0^*)\gamma^5 + k_1(\sigma^{01} + i\sigma^{23}) + k_1^*(\sigma^{01} - i\sigma^{23}) + \\ & k_2(\sigma^{02} + i\sigma^{31}) + k_2^*(\sigma^{02} - i\sigma^{31}) + k_3(\sigma^{03} + i\sigma^{12}) + k_3^*(\sigma^{03} - i\sigma^{12}). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Because in Majorana basis $(i\gamma_M^5)^* = i\gamma_M^5$, $(\sigma_M^{ab})^* = +\sigma_M^{ab}$, the Lorentzian 4-spinor matrix $S(k, k^*)$ is real.

Now we are to describe all possible Majorana's bases. To this end we should find all transformations A in 4-spinor space that change all the Dirac matrices to the imaginary forme

$$\Psi_M(x) = A \Psi(x), \quad \gamma_M^a = A \gamma^a A^{-1}, \quad (\gamma_M^a)^* = -\gamma_M^a; \tag{56}$$

here γ^a stand for the Dirac matrices in spinor representation. One should note that the problem must have a many of solutions. Indeed, if A satisfies eq. (56) then any matrix of the form $A' = e^{i\alpha} R A$, where R is real, will satisfy eq. (56) as well:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if} & \quad (A \gamma^a A^{-1})^* = -(A \gamma^a A^{-1}), \\ \text{then} & \quad [(e^{i\alpha} R A) \gamma^a (e^{i\alpha} R A)^{-1}]^* = -[(e^{i\alpha} R A) \gamma^a (e^{i\alpha} R A)^{-1}]^*. \end{aligned}$$

⁷This is in evident contradiction with the analysis of fermion parity given by Yang and Tiomno [54] and many others in the frames of orthogonal groups theory.

Equation (56) can be written as

$$A^* (\gamma^a)^* (A^*)^{-1} = -A \gamma^a A^{-1}, \quad \text{or} \quad (A^{-1} A^*) (\gamma^a)^* (A^{-1} A^*)^{-1} = -\gamma^a.$$

With the use of notation $A^{-1} A^* = U$, the latter reads

$$U (\gamma^a)^* U^{-1} = -\gamma^a. \quad (57)$$

In spinor representation four identities hold

$$(\gamma^0)^* = +\gamma^0, \quad (\gamma^1)^* = +\gamma^1, \quad (\gamma^2)^* = -\gamma^2, \quad (\gamma^3)^* = +\gamma^3,$$

therefore, solution of eq. (57) looks as

$$U = \text{const } \gamma^2, \quad \det(\gamma^2) = +1. \quad (58)$$

Because $\det U = (\det A)^* / (\det A)$, one must conclude that *const* is equal to a phase factor $e^{i\alpha}$. Thus, the problem is reduced to

$$A^{-1} A^* = e^{i\alpha} \gamma^2, \quad \text{or} \quad A^* = e^{i\alpha} A \gamma^2. \quad (59)$$

Any 4-dimensional matrix can be decomposed into sixteen Dirac elementary matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \left[(M_0 \gamma^2 + m_0) + \gamma^1 (N_0 \gamma^2 + n_0) \right] + \\ & + \gamma^5 \left[(M_1 \gamma^2 + m_1) + \gamma^1 (N_1 \gamma^2 + n_1) \right] + \\ & + \gamma^0 \left[(M_2 \gamma^2 + m_2) + \gamma^1 (N_2 \gamma^2 + n_2) \right] + \\ & + \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \left[(M_3 \gamma^2 + m_3) + \gamma^1 (N_3 \gamma^2 + n_3) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

With the notation

$$\Gamma_0 = I, \quad \Gamma_1 = \gamma^5, \quad \Gamma_2 = \gamma^0, \quad \Gamma_3 = \gamma^5 \gamma^0, \quad (61)$$

eq. (60) is written in the abridged form

$$A = \Gamma_i \left[(M_i \gamma^2 + m_i) + \gamma^1 (N_i \gamma^2 + n_i) \right]. \quad (62)$$

Now, let us substitute (62) into eq. (59):

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i \left[(-M_i^* \gamma^2 + m_i^*) + \gamma^1 (-N_i^* \gamma^2 + n_i^*) \right] = \\ = e^{i\alpha} \Gamma_i \left[(m_i \gamma^2 - M_i) + \gamma^1 (n_i \gamma^2 - N_i) \right]; \end{aligned}$$

further it follow equations for unknown parameters:

$$M_i = -e^{-i\alpha} m_i^*, \quad N_i = -e^{-i\alpha} n_i^*.$$

Therefore, expression for a matrix A , relating spinor basis with any one Majorana's is given by ⁸

$$\begin{aligned} A = \Gamma_i \left[(m_i - e^{-i\alpha} m_i^* \gamma^2) + \gamma_1 (n_i - e^{-i\alpha} n_i^* \gamma^2) \right], \\ \Psi_M(x) = A(m_i, n_i, e^{i\alpha}) \Psi(x). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Evidently, 17 arbitrary real parameters enter these formulas; there exists one additional restriction, $\det A \neq 0$. Simplest of the Majorana bases can be taken as follows (only different from zero parameters are specified):

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad e^{i\alpha} = +1, \quad A = \frac{1-\gamma^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad A^{-1} = \frac{1+\gamma^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \gamma_M^0 = +\gamma^0 \gamma^2, \quad \gamma_M^1 = +\gamma^1 \gamma^2, \quad \gamma_M^2 = \gamma^2, \quad \gamma_M^3 = +\gamma^3 \gamma^2. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

There exist 16 such possibilities, they can be listed in the two tables:

⁸All the matrices in the right-hand side are referred to spinor representation.

$$\underline{e^{i\alpha} = +1}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcccccccc}
1/\sqrt{2} = & m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & n_0 & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\
\gamma_M^0 = \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \times & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
\gamma_M^1 = \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \times & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\gamma_M^2 = \gamma^2 \times & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 \\
\gamma_M^3 = \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \times & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1
\end{array} \tag{65}$$

$$\underline{e^{i\alpha} = -1}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcccccccc}
1/\sqrt{2} = & m_0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & n_0 & n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\
\gamma_M^0 = \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \times & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 \\
\gamma_M^1 = \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \times & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\
\gamma_M^2 = \gamma^2 \times & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 \\
\gamma_M^3 = \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \times & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1
\end{array} \tag{66}$$

These Majorana bases are similar to each other: $\gamma_M^2 = \pm \gamma^2$, the remaining three Dirac matrices are multiplied by $\pm \gamma^2$.

10 Conclusions

A unifying overview of the ways to parameterize the linear group $GL(4.C)$ and its subgroups is given. As parameters for this group there are taken 16 coefficients $G = G(A, B, A_k, B_k, F_{kl})$ in resolving matrix $G \in GL(4.C)$ in terms of 16 basic elements of the Dirac matrix algebra. The multiplication rules $G'G$ are formulated in the form of a bilinear function of two sets of 16 variables. The detailed investigation is restricted to 6-parameter case $G(A, B, F_{kl})$, which provides us with spinor covering for the complex orthogonal group $SO(3.1.C)$. Lorentz matrices L in complex space are determined through the formula $G\gamma^a G^{-1} = \gamma^c L_c^a$, $L = L(A, B, F_{kl})$. Restrictions to parameters corresponding to spinor coverings of sub-groups $SO(3.1.R)$, $SO(2.2.R)$, $SO(4.R)$, $SO(3.C)$, $SO(3.R)$, $SO(2.1.R)$ are formulated explicitly. The use of the Newmann-Penrose formalism and applying quaternion techniques in the theory of complex Lorentz group are discussed. The complex Euler's angles parametrization for complex Lorentz group is elaborated as underlaid by the orthogonal complex cylindrical coordinates in the complex extension for Riemannian 3-space of constant curvature. The Majorana bases are studied in detail. In an explicit form 17-parametric formula referring spinor (Weyl's) basis to any Majorana's one has been established: $\Psi_M(x) = A(m_i, n_i, e^{i\alpha}) \Psi(x)$. The most simple choices of $(m_i, n_i, e^{i\alpha})$ associated with widely used Majorana representations are given.

The problem of extending the group $SO(3.1.C)$ by two additional discrete spinor operations, P and T reflections: $G_{cover} = \{G \oplus P \oplus T\}$ is solved. It is shown that the extended covering group G_{cover} has only two types of irreducible fundamental 4-spinor representations, which can serve the group-theoretical base for the concept of intrinsic parity of 4-spinor field. Resolving the 2-rank spinor $\Psi \otimes \Phi$ into a set of irreducible components under the covering group G_{cover} is given; four types of scalars and 4-vectors are constructed. The problem of extending the group looks the same for $O(3.1.C)$, $SO(3.1.R)$, $SO(2.2)$, $SO(4.R)$. Connections between Einstein-Mayer study on semi-vectors and Fedorov's treatment of the Lorentz group theory are stated in detail.

The work is dedicated to the 95-th anniversary of birthday of Academician F.I. Fedorov.

References

- [1] Lorentz H.A. Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity less than that of light. Proc. Royal Acad. Amsterdam. 6, page 809, 1904
- [2] Poincar'e H. La mesure du temps. Revue de métaphysique et de morale, 6, pages 371-384. 1898; Sur la dynamique de l'électron // Compte rendus de l'Academie des Sciences de Paris, 1905. Vol. 140, pages 1504-1508; Sur la dynamique de l'électron // Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. 1906. Vol.XXI. P. 129-175. (received July 23, 1905, published in January 1906).

- [3] Einstein A. Zur Elektrodynamik der bewegten Körper. Annalen der Physik, 17, pages 891-921, received June 30, published September 26, 1905.
- [4] Silberstein L. Electromagnetic basic equations in bivectoral treatment Annalen der Physik. 1907. Bd. 22. no 3. S. 579-586; Amendment of the essay on "Electromagnetic basic equations in bivector treatment". Annalen der Physik. 1907. Bd. 24. no 14. S. 783-784.
- [5] Minkowsky H. Minkowski H. Götting Nachr. Kgl. Ges. Wiss. II, Math.Phys., KI. **2** 530116 (1908); Raum und Zeit. Phys. Zs. 1909. Bd. 10. S. 104.
- [6] Sommerfeld A. Theory of relativity I - Four dimensional vector algebra. Annalen der Physik. 1910. Bd. 32. no 9. S. 749-776; Sommerfeld A. Concerning the theory of relativity. II. Four dimensional vector analyze. Annalen der Physik. 1910. Bd. 33. no 14.
- [7] Darboux G. Sur la sphère de rayon nul et sur la théorie du déplacement d'une figure invariable. Bull. des Sciences Math. 1905. Tom 9. 2 série. (Ce memoire est un résumé des leçons que l'auteur a faites à la Sorbonne en 1900 et 1904.); Darboux G. Leçons sur la théorie des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitesimal. Paris. 1914.
- [8] Cartan E. Les groupes projectifs qui ne laissent invariante aucune multiplicité plane. Bull. Soc. Math. France. 1913. Tom 41. no 1. P. 53-96.
- [9] Weyl H. Theorie der Darstellung kontinuierlicher hable infacher Gruppen durch lineare Transformationen. I, II. Math. Z. 1924. Bd. 23. S. 271-309; 1925 Bd 24. S. 329-395.
- [10] Uhlenbeck G.E., Goudsmit S. Spining electrons and the structure of spectra. Nature. 1925. Vol. 117. P. 264.
- [11] Uhlenbeck G.E., Goudsmit S.A. Ersetzung der Hypothese vom unmechanischen Zwang durch eine Forderung bezüglich des inneren Verhaltens jedes einzelnen Elektrons. Die Naturwissenschaften. 1925. Bd. 13. S. 953-954; Spinning electrons and the structure of spectra. Nature. 1926. Vol. 117. P. 264-265.
- [12] Thomas L.H. The kinematics of an electron with axis. Nature. 1925. Vol. 117. P. 550; The motion of the spinning electron. Nature. 1926. Vol. 117. P. 514.
- [13] Frenkel J. Die Elektrodynamik des rotierenden Electrons. Zeischrift fur Physik. 1926. Bd. 37. S. 243-262.
- [14] Schreier O. Die Verwandtschaft stetigen Gruppen im Grossen. Hamb. Abh. Math. Sem. 1926. Bd. 5. S. 236-244.
- [15] Pauli W. Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Electrons. Zeit. Phys. 1927. Bd. 43. S. 601-623.
- [16] Dirac P.A.M. The quantum theory of the electron. I. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. 1927, Vol. 117. P. 610-624; II. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. 1928. Vol. 118. P. 351-361.
- [17] Möglich F. Z. Phys. 1928. Bd. 48. S. 852.
- [18] Neumann J. Einige Bemerkungen zur Diracschen Theorie des Drehelektrons. Z. Phys. 1928. Bd. 48. S. 868-881.
- [19] Van der Waerden B.L. Spinoranalyse. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Götting. Math.Phys. Klasse. 1929. S. 100-109.
- [20] Laporte O. Uhlenbeck G.E. Application of spinor analysis to the Maxwell and Dirac equations. Phys. Rev. 1931. Vol. 37. no 11. P. 1380-1397.
- [21] Rumer G. The present state of Dirac's theory of the electron. Physikalische Zeitschrift. 1931. Bd. 32. S. 601-622.
- [22] Weyl H. The theory of groups and quantum mechanics. Methuent. London. 1931. 358 pages.
- [23] Wigner E. Gruppentheorie und ihre Anwendungen auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomspektren. Friedr. Vieweg und Sohn. Braunschweig. 1931.
- [24] Wigner E. Über die Operation der Zeitumkehr in der Quantenmechanik. Göttinger Nachr. 1932. Bd. 31. S. 546-559.

- [25] Van der Vaerden B.L. Die Gruppentheoretische Methode in der Quantenmechanik. Berlin. 1932.
- [26] Einstein A., Mayer W. Semivektoren und Spinoren. Sitz. Ber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. Phys.-Math. Kl. 1932, S. 522-550;
- [27] Einstein A., Mayer W. Die Diracgleichungen für Semivektoren. [The Dirac equations for semi vectors]. Proc. Akad. Wet. (Amsterdam). 1933. Bd. 36. S. 497-516.
- [28] Einstein A., Mayer W. Spaltung der Natürlichsten Feldgleichungen für Semi-Vektoren in Spinor-Gleichungen von Diracschen Tipus. [Splitting of the most natural field calculations for semi-vectors in Dirac spinor equations]. Proc. Akad. Wet. (Amsterdam). 1933. Bd. 36, S. 615-619.
- [29] Mie G. The geometry of spinors. Annalen der Physik. 1933. Bd. 17. no 5. S. 465-500.
- [30] Veblen O. Geometry of two-component spinors. Proc. Nat. Akad. Sci. 1933, Vol. 19. P. 462-474; Geometry of four-component spinors. Proc. Nat. Akad. Sci. 1933. Vol. 19. P. 503-517.
- [31] Pauli W. Finige die Quantenmechanik betreffenden Erkundigungsfragen. Z. Phys. 1933. Bd. 80. S. 573-586.
- [32] Brauer R., Weyl H. Spinors in n dimension. Am. J. Math. 1935. Vol. 57. P. 425-449.
- [33] Pauli W. Beiträge zur mathematischen Theorie der Dirac'schen Matrizen. in: P. Zeeman. Verhandelng. M. Nighoff, Haag. 1935. S. 31-43.
- [34] Pauli W. Contributions mathematiques à la théorie des matrices de Dirac. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. 1936. Vol. 6. P. 109-136.
- [35] Sommerfeld A. Über die Klein'schen Parameter $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ und ihre Bedeutung für die Dirac-Theorie. Sitz. Ber. Akad. Wiss. Wien. 1936. Bd. 145. S. 639-650.
- [36] Rumer Yu.B. Spinor analysis. Moscow. 1936 (in Russian).
- [37] Majorana E. Teoria simmetrica dell electrone e dell positrone. Nuovo Cim. 1937. Vol. 14. P. 171-184.
- [38] Dirac P.A.M. Complex variables in quantum mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. LONDON. A. 1937. Vol. 160, no A900. P. 48-59.
- [39] Racah G. Sulla simmetria tra particelle e antiparticell. Nuovo Cim. 1937. Vol. 14. P. 322.
- [40] Kramers H.A. The use of charge conjugated functions in the hole theory of the electron. Proc. Neder. Akad. van Wetensh. Amst. 1937. Vol. 40. no 10. P. 814.
- [41] Brillouin L. Les tenseurs en mécanique et en élasticité. Paris. Masson. 1938.
- [42] Cartan E. La théorie des spineurs. I. Actualités Sci. et Ind. 1938. no 643. Hermann. Paris; La théorie des spineurs. II. Actualités Sci. et Ind. 1938. no 701. Hermann. Paris.
- [43] Hettner G. On the theory of "rotation transformation" Annalen der Physik. 1938. Bd. 32. no 1-2. S. 141-147.
- [44] Scherzer O. The imaginary unit in the Dirac equation. Analen der Physik. 1938. Bd. 33. no 7. S. 593-595.
- [45] Furay W.H. Note on the theory of the neutral particle. Phys. Rev. 1938. Vol. 54. no 1. P. 56-67.
- [46] Dirac P.A.M. La théorie de l'électron et du champ électromagnétique. Ann. de l'Inst. H. Poincaré. 1939. Tom 9. P. 13-49.
- [47] Wigner E.P. On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group. Math. Ann. 1939. Vol. 40. P. 683-204.
- [48] Pauli W., Belinfante F.J. On the statistical behaviour of known and unknown elementary particles. Physica. 1940. Vol. 7. P. 177-192.
- [49] Pauli W. Relativistic field theories of elementary particles. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1941. Vol. 13. P. 203-232.

- [50] Einstein A., Bargmann V. Bivector fields. I,II. Annals of mathematics. 1944. Vol. 45. P. 1-14; 15-23.
- [51] Bargmann V. Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group. Ann. Math. 1947. Vol. 48. P. 568-640.
- [52] I.M. Gel'fand and A.M. Yaglom. General relativistic-invariant equations and infinitedimensional representations of the Lorentz group. Zh. Ehksp. Teor. Fiz. **18**. 703-733 (1948).
- [53] Wigner E. Invariance in physical theory. Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc. 1949. Vol. 93. P. 521-526.
- [54] Yang C.N., Tiomno J. Reflection properties of spin 1/2 fields and a universal fermi-type interaction. Phys. Rev. 1950. Vol. 79. no 3. P. 495-498.
- [55] Zharkov G.F. On neutrino and anti-neutrino. JETP, 1950. Vol. 20. no 6. P. 492-496 (in Russian).
- [56] Wick G.C., Wigner E.P., Wightman A.S. Intrinsic parity of elementary particles. Phys. Rev. 1952. Vol. 88. P. 101.
- [57] Pais A., Jost R. Selection rules imposed by charge conjugation and charge symmetry. Phys. Rev. 1952. Vol. 87. no 5. P. 871.
- [58] Shapiro I.S. On transformation laws for spinors, solutions of the Dirac equation. JETP. 1952. Vol 22. no 5. P. 524-538 (in Russian).
- [59] Bade W.L., Jehle H. An introduction to spinors. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1953. Vol. 25. P. 714-728.
- [60] Corson E.M. Introduction to tensors, spinors and relativistic wave equations. Blackie, Glasgow, 1953.
- [61] Shapiro I.S. Symmetry properties in particle theory and nuclear processes. Usp. Fiz. Nauk. 1954. Vol. 53. no 1. P. 7-68 (in Russian).
- [62] Lüders G. On the equivalence of invariance under time reversal and under particle-anti-particle conjugation for relativistic field theories. Dan. Mat. Fys. Medd. 1954. Vol. 28. P. 5.
- [63] H. Umezawa, S. Kamefuchi, and S. Tanaka. On the time reversal in the quantized field theory. Prog. Theor. Phys. **12**. 383-400 (1954).
- [64] Naimark M.A. Linear representations of the Lorentz group. Ysp. Mat. Nayk. 1954. Vol. 9. no 4(62). P. 19-93 (in Russian).
- [65] Rashevskiy P.K. The theory of spinors. Ysp. Mat. Nayk. 1955. Vol. 10. no 2(64). P. 3-110 (in Russian).
- [66] Good R.H. Properties of the Dirac matrices. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1955. Vol. 27. P. 187-211.
- [67] Case K.M. Biquadratic spinor identities. Phys. Rev. 1955. Vol. 97. no 3. P. 810-823.
- [68] Pauli W. Exclusion principle, Lorentz group and charge reflection of space-time and charge. In. : Niels Bohr and development of physics. London, 1955. P. 30-51.
- [69] Watanabe S. Symmetry of Physical laws. I. Symmetry in space-time and balance theorems. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1955. Vol. 27. P. 26-39; II. Q-number theory of space-time inversion and charge conjugation. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1955. Vol. 27. P. 40-76.
- [70] Gel'fand I.M., Minlos R.A., Shapiro Z.Ya. Representations of the rotation groups and the Lorentz group. Moscow. 1956 (in Russian).
- [71] Umezawa H. Quantum field theory. Amsterdam. 1956.
- [72] Lee T.D., Yang C.N. Question of parity conservation in weak interactions. Phys. Rev. 1956. Vol. 104. P. 254-258.
- [73] Golfand Yu.A. On transformation properties of amplitudes of electron-positron field. JETP. 1956. Vol 31. no 3(9). P. 535 (in Russian).
- [74] Wigner E.P. Relativistic invariance in quantum phenomena. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1957. Vol. 29. no 3. P. 255-268.

- [75] Lee T.D., Yang C.N. Parity non conservation and a two-component theory of the neutrino. Phys. Rev. 1957. Vol. 105. no 5. P. 1671-1675.
- [76] Lee T.D., Oehme R. Yang C.N. Remark on possible non invariance under time reversal and charge conjugation in weak interactions. Phys. Rev. 1957. Vol. 106. P. 340-345.
- [77] Landau L.D. On conservation laws in weak interaction. JETP. 1957. Vol. 32. no 2. P. 405-406; Nucl. Phys. 1957, Vol. 3. P. 127.
- [78] Sokolik G.A. Interpretation for "anomalous" representation of the inversion group. JETP. 1957. Vol. 33. P. 1515-1516 (in Russian).
- [79] Case K.M. Reformulation of the Majorana theory of the neutrino. Phys. Rev. 1957. Vol. 107. no 1. P. 307-316.
- [80] Heine V. Irreducible representations of the full Lorentz group. Phys. Rev. 1957. Vol. 107. no 2. P. 620-623.
- [81] Winogradzki J. Spineurs du seconde rang à composantes invariantes et formalisme spinoriel incluant les parities. J. Phys. Rad. 1957. Vol. 18. P. 387; Sur les spineurs de Dirac à composantes invariantes. Compt. Rendus. 1957. Vol. 244. P. 1158-1160.
- [82] C. Van Winter. Thèse. Gröningen. 1957.
- [83] Schremp E.J. Parity non conservation and the group-space of the proper Lorentz group. Phys. Rev. 1957. Vol. 108. n. 4. P. 1066-1067.
- [84] Shirokov Yu.M. Group theory consideration of the bases of relativistic quantum mechanics I. JETP. 1957, Vol. 33. no 4. P. 861-872; II. JETP. 1957. Vol. 33. no. 5. P. 1196-1207; III. JETP. 1957. Vol. 33. no 5. P. 1208-1214; IV. JETP. 1958. Vol. 34. no 3. P. 717-724; V. JETP. 1959. Vol. 36. no 3. P. 879-888 (in Russian).
- [85] Naimark M.A. Linear representations of the Lorentz group. Moscow. 1958.
- [86] Fedorov F.I. On composition rule for parameters of the rotation group. Doklady AN BSSR. 1958. Vol. 2. no 10. p. 408-412.(in Russian)
- [87] Winogradzki J. Représentations spinorielles fondamentales du groupe de Lorentz générale et retournement de l'espace, du temps et de l'univers. J. Phys. Rad. 1958. Vol. 19. no 2. P. 159; La représentation spinorielle du groupe de Lorentz générale. Cahiers de Physique. 1958. Vol. 12. P. 261; Sur la conjugaison de charge et deux transformations analogues. Compt. Rendus. 1959. Vol. 248. P. 1480-1483.
- [88] Grawerts G., Lüders G., Rollnik H. The TCP theorem and its applications. Forsch. Phys. 1959. Bd. 7. S. 291-328.
- [89] Feinberg G., Weinberg S. On the phase factors in inversions. Nuovo Cim. 1959. Vol. 14. no 3. P. 571-592.
- [90] Francis Halbwachs, Pierre Hillion, Jean-Piere Vigier. Théorie mathématique des angles d'Euler dans l'espace-temps. Annales de l'Institut H. Poincaré. 1959. Tome 16. n. 3. P. 115-143.
- [91] Francis Halbwachs Rotation instantannée ey angle d'Euler dans l'espace-temps. Annales de l'Institut H. Poincaré. 1959. Tome 16. n. 3. P. 145-160.
- [92] Pierre Hillion, Jean-Piere Vigier. Fonctions propres des opérateurs quantiques de rotations associés aux angles d'Euler dans l'espace-temps. Annales de l'Institut H. Poincaré. 1959. Tome 16. n. 3. P. 161-216.
- [93] Francis Halbwachs, Pierre Hillion, Jean-Piere Vigier. La théorem C, P, T dans la théorie quantique des mouvements internes de rotatteurs relativistes. Annales de l'Institut H. Poincaré. 1959. Tome 16. n. 3. P. 217-234.
- [94] Wightman A.S. L'invariance dans la mécanique quantique relativiste. en: Relations de dispersion et particules élémentaires. Ecole d'été de physique théorique. Les Houches, 1960. Ed. C de Witt & R.Omnes. Paris. 1960. P. 159-226.

- [95] Jost R. Das Pauli-Prinzip und Lorentz-Gruppe. In: Theoretical physics in the twentieth Century. Ed. Fierz M., Weisskopf V. Interscience Publ., 1960. P. 107-136.
- [96] Van der Waerden B.L. Exclusion principle and spin. - In: . Theoretical physics in the twentieth Century. Ed. Fierz M., Weisskopf V.F. Interscience Publ. 1960. P. 199-244.
- [97] Wigner E. Normal form of anti-unitary operators. J. Math. Phys. 1960. Vol. 1. P. 409.
- [98] Wigner E. Phenomenological distinction between unitary and anti-unitary operators. J. Math. Phys. 1960. Vol. 1. P. 414.
- [99] Shirokov Yu.M. Space and time reflections in relativistic theory. Nucl. Phys. 1960. Vol. 15. P. 1-12; Types of symmetry of elementary particles. Nucl. Phys. 1960. Vol. 15. P. 13-15.
- [100] Fedorov F.I. On parametrization of the Lorentz group. Doklady AN BSSR. 1961. Vol. 5. no 5. P. 101-104; On some properties of the Lorentz matrix. Doklady AN BSSR. 1961. Vol. 5. no 5. P. 155-158 (in Russian).
- [101] Bogush A.A., Fedorov F.I. General Lorentz transformation in bispinor space. Doklady AN BSSR. 1961. Vol. 5. no 8. P. 327-330 (in Russian).
- [102] Fedorov F.I. On composition of parameters of the Lorentz group. Doklady AN SSSR. 1962. Vol. 143. no 1. P. 56-59 (in Russian).
- [103] Bogush A.A., Fedorov F.I. On transformation of the 4-vectors. Doklady AN BSSR. 1962. Vol. 6. no 11. P. 690-693. (in Russian).
- [104] Macfarlane A.J. On the restricted Lorentz group and groups homomorphically related to it. J. Math. Phys. 1962. Vol. 3. P. 1116-1129.
- [105] Wigner E. Unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group including reflections. In: Group theoretical concepts and methods in elementary particle physics. Ed. Gürsey F.N.Y. 1964. Lectures at the Istanbul Summer School of theoretical physics. 1962. P. 37-80.
- [106] H. Joos. Zur darstellungstheorie der inhomogenen Lorentzgruppe als Grundlage quantenmechanische Kinematik. Fortschr. Phys. 10, 65 (1962).
- [107] Wigner E. Symmetry and conservation laws. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (US). 1964. Vol. 51. P. 956-965.
- [108] Sudarshan E.C.G. Concerning space-time, symmetry groups, and charge conjugation. J. Math. Phys. 1965. Vol. 6. no 8. P. 1329-1331.
- [109] Lee T.D., Wick G.C. Space inversion, time reversal and other discrete symmetries in local field theory. Phys. Rev. 1966. Vol. 148. no 4. P. 1385-1404.
- [110] Fedorov F.I. Recurrent formula for multiplying the Dirac matrices. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1967. no 1. P. 127-128 (in Russian).
- [111] Fedorov F.I. Transformation of space reflection and the matrix of Lorentz invariant bilinear form. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1967. no 2. P. 85-93 (in Russian).
- [112] Fedorov F.I., Txarev E.E. Vector-parameter and a covariant theory of the spin. Yadernaya Fizika. 1968. Vol. 7. no 1. 189-201 (in Russian).
- [113] Berestetskiy V.B., Lifshitz E.M., Pitaevskiy L.B. Relativistic quantum theory. Part I. Moscow. 1968. (in Russian)
- [114] Goldberg H. Remark on the "types" of the elementary particles. Nuovo Cim. A. 1969. Vol. 60. no 4. P. 509-518.
- [115] Ivanitskaya O.S. Extended Lorentz transformations and their application. Minsk. 1969 (in Russian).
- [116] Fedorov F.I. Vector-parameter and relativistic kinematics. Theor. Matem. Fizika. 1970. Vol.2. no 3. P. 343-349 (in Russian).

- [117] Bogush A.A., Moroz L.G., Fedorov F.I. Tensor-parameter and flat Lorentz transformations. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1970. no 4. P. 85-90 (in Russian).
- [118] Bogush A.A., Fedorov F.I. Vector parametrization of the complex Lorentz group. Teor. matem. Fizika. 1972. Vol. 13. no 1. P. 67-74 (in Russian).
- [119] Bogush A.A., Fedorov F.I. On flat orthogonal transformations. Doklady AN SSSR. 1972. Vol. 206. no 5. P. 1033-1036 (in Russian).
- [120] Bogush A.A. On vector (regular) parametrization of the complex Lorentz group $SO(4.C)$. AN BSSR. Institute of Physics. Preprint. Minsk, 1972. 48 pages (in Russian).
- [121] Bogush A.A. On vector parametrization of sub-groups of $SO(n.C)$ isomorphic to the group $SO(3.C)$. Doklady AN BSSR. 1973. Vol. 17. no 11. P. 996-999 (in Russian).
- [122] Fedorov F.I. Sub groups of the complex rotation group and the Lorentz group. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1973. no 2. P. 63-72.
- [123] Fedorov F.I. On sub-groups of the complex Lorentz group. Doklady AN SSSR. 1973. Vol. 209. no 1. P. 70-72 (in Russian).
- [124] Fedorov F.I. Vector-parameter and calculation of the matrix elements in quantum electrodynamics. Yadernaya Fizika. 1973. Vol. 17. no 4. P. 882-888 (in Russian).
- [125] Hurley W.J. Invariant bilinear forms and the discrete symmetries for relativistic arbitrary-spin fields. Phys. Rev. D. 1974. Vol. 10. no 4. P. 1185-200.
- [126] Biritz H. How to split parity doublets. Phys. Rev. D. 1975. Vol. 11. no 10. P. 2862-2869.
- [127] Penrose R. Relativistic symmetry groups. in: Group theory in non-linear Problems. ed. A.O.Barut, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974. P. 1-58.
- [128] Berezin A.V., Fedorov F.I. On representations of the complex Lorentz group. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1975. no 1. P. 118-120 (in Russian)
- [129] Fedorovich A.M, Fedorov F.I. A covariant parametrization of the group $SU(3)$. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1975. no 6. P. 42-46 (in Russian).
- [130] Bogush A.A., Kurochkin Yu.A., Lapkovskiy A.K., Fedorov F.I. Vector parametrization of complex Lorentz group and quaternions. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1976. no 1. P. 69-75 (in Russian).
- [131] Staruszkiewich A. On two kinds of spinors. Acta Phys. Polon. B. 1976. Vol. 7. P. 557-565.
- [132] Bogush A.A., Kurochkin Yu.A., Fedorov F.I. Vector of the Lobachevski space and relativistic kinematics. Doklady AN SSSR. 1977, Vol. 236. no 1. P. 58-60.
- [133] Bogush A.A., Fedorov F.I. Finite Lorentz transformations in quantum field theory. Reports on Math. Phys. 1977. Vol. 11. no 1. P. 37-52.
- [134] Ebner D. Classification of relativistic particles according the the representations theory of the eight non isomorphic simply connected covering groups of the full Lorentz group. General Relativity and Gravitation. 1977. Vol. 8. no 1.
- [135] Bogush A.A., Ivanitskaya O.S., Kisel V.V., Kostjukovich N.N. Bi-vector parametrization of the complex Lorentz group $SO(4.C)$. AN BSSR. Institute of Physics. Preprint no 141. Minsk. 1978. 44 pages (in Russian).
- [136] Ivanitskaya O.S. Lorentzian basis and gravitational effects in Einstein gravity theory. Mins. 1979 (in Russian).
- [137] Fedorov F.I. The Lorentz group. Moscow. 1979 (in Russian).
- [138] Berezin A.V., Tolkachev E.A., Fedorov F.I. Lorentz transformations and equations for a spinorial quaternion. Doklady AN BSSR. 1980. Vol. 24. np 4. P. 308-310.

- [139] Berezin A.V., Fedorov F.I. Linear parametrization of the Poincaré's and Galilei's groups. Doklady AN BSSR. 1982. Vol. 26. no 1. P. 17-20 (in Russian).
- [140] Fedorov F.I. On charge conjugation. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1983. no 5. P. 36-43 (in Russian).
- [141] Altmann S.L. Rotations, quaternions, and double groups. 1986.
- [142] Zheludev I.S. Symmetry properties of space and time. Rev. Roum. Phys. 1987. Vol. 32. no 32. P. 363-373.
- [143] Sharma C.S. Representations of the general Lorentz group by 2×2 -matrices. Nuovo Cim. B. 1989. Vol. 103. P. 431-434.
- [144] Berezin A.B. Kurochkin Yu.A., Tolkachev E.A., Quaternions in relativistic physics. Minsk. 1989 (in Russian).
- [145] Good I.J. Lorentz matrices - a review. Intern. J. Theor. Phys. 1995. Vol. 34. no 5. P. 779-799.
- [146] F.H. Gaioli and E.T.G. Alvarez. Some remarks about intrinsic parity in Ryder's derivation of the Dirac equation. Am. J. Phys. 63, 177-178 (1995).
- [147] Huet P., Neuberger H. Overlap formulation of Majorana-Weyl fermion. Phys. Lett. B. 1996, Vol. 380. P. 291-295; hep-th/9602176.
- [148] Kauffman L.H. Discrete symmetry and the Dirac equation. Phys. Lett. A. 1996. Vol. 218. P. 139-146; hep-th/9603202.
- [149] Red'kov V.M. On relation between spinor space geometry and concept of intrinsic parity of a fermion. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.-mat. 1996. no 1. P. 36-43 (in Russian).
- [150] Haft M., Salle H. Operational symmetries and CP violation. 24 page, hep-th/9603124.
- [151] Thomas Ackermann. Dirac operators and Clifford geometry — new unifying principle in particle physics. hep-th/9605129.
- [152] Brumby S.P., Foot R., Volkas R.R. Quaternionic formulation of the exact parity model. 30 pages, hep-th/9602139.
- [153] Dvoeglazov Valeri V. Another Majorana idea: real and imaginary in Weinberg theory. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1997. Vol. 36. P. 635-642; hep-th/9609147.
- [154] Anandan J. Global topology and local violation of discrete symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998. Vol. 81. P. 1363-1366; hep-th/9706181.
- [155] Recai Erdem. Spinor representation of the general Lorentz Group for spin 1/2 particles and CPT. 12 pages; hep-th/9809054 V2.
- [156] De Leo Stefano, Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr. Quaternionic electron theory: Dirac's equation. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1998. Vol. 37. P. 1511-1530; hep-th/9806057.
- [157] De Leo Stefano, Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr. Quaternionic electron theory: geometry, algebra and Dirac's spinors. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1998. Vol. 37. P. 1707-1720; hep-th/9806058.
- [158] I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman, and A.L. Shelepin. Discrete symmetries as automorphisms of the proper Poincaré group. hep-th/0010035.
- [159] Red'kov V.V. On concept of parity for a fermion. Proc. of XI Ann. Sem. Nonlinear Phenomena in Complex Systems. Minsk. IP NASB. 2002. P. 397-403; hep-th/0305088.
- [160] Red'kov V.M. Linear representations of spinor coverings of the Lorentz group and intrinsic space-time parity of a fermion. Vesti AN BSSR. ser. fiz.mat. 2003. no 2. P. 70-76 (in Russian).
- [161] Erber T. Reflections on parity Foundations of Physics. 2004. Vol. 34. no 10. P. 1515-1540.
- [162] Olevskiy M.N. Three-orthogonal systems in spaces of constant curvature in which equation $\Delta_2 U + \lambda U = 0$ permits the full separation of variables. Matem. Sbornik. 1950 P. 379-426 (in Russian).

- [163] Newman E.T., Penrose R. An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients. J. Math. Phys. 1962, Vol. 3, n. 3, P. 566-578.
- [164] Frolov V.P. The Newman-Penrose method in general relativity. Trudy FIAN. Moscow. 1977, Tome 96, P. 72-180 (in Russian).
- [165] Alexeev G.A., Khlebnikov V.I. Newman-Penrose formalism and its application in general relativity. Phys. Element. Part. At. Nucl. EPAN. 1978, Vol. 9, no 5. P. 790-870.
- [166] Frolov V.P. The Newman-Penrose method in the theory of general relativity. in: Problem in the general theory of relativity and theory of group representations. ed. N.G. Basov, Plenum, New York, 1979.
- [167] Penrose R., Rindler W. Spinors and space-time. Volume I: Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [168] Penrose R., Rindler W. . Spinors and space-time. Volume 2: Spinor and twistors methods in space-time geometry. Cambridge University Press, 1986.